

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

Covering letter

All the submissions must be communicated through proper channel by expressing interest through a cover letter. Cover letter should contain author details and the contribution details must be included. Corresponding author must maintain the integrity of the formatting and plagiarism. This might be liable to legal proceedings if in case of plagiarized article. Hence, the authors to maintain the originality of research write-up.

Subject domain: While sending the article subject must be mentioned.

Suggesting reviewers

Authors are advised to suggest five reviewers in the field, reviewers should be from different countries and different institutions. At least three reviewers must accept.

Manuscript Design

Title page: must contain title of paper (short, concise; no subtitles and abbreviations should be used), authors name(s) and address (es) of the working organization.

Abstract: It should not exceed 250 words. A short summary of the research outcomes. Summary should provide the reader about the most important results. It should describe all essential facts of scientific findings. It should be concisely written and comprehensible as much as possible. No references are to be cited in the abstract.

Key words: up to 7 words, should differ from words mentioned in the title.

Introduction: should focus the main broad research area and why the research was conducted. Then describe a brief review of literature. The last paragraph must state the objectives and hypotheses.

Material and Methods:

All material, experiments conducted described in detail. All procedures and all analytical methods used for evaluation should be included. The methodology is described and to cite the author and to mention the particulars.

Results and discussion presented in one heading. Results should be present in a clear manner that projects findings in tables and figures. The authors could compare the results with data published by other authors and be cited, e.g. ...as published by Jeevan (2015) [1], Chandra et al. (2016) [2], Jeevan and Reddy (2017) [3] found that..., or citing authors and years of publication in parenthesis [1,2,3] (Jeevan (2015); Chandra et al. (2016); Jeevan and Reddy (2017).

Discussion—it is necessary to evaluate the results with respect to factors, which could affect them, and to confront them with results of other authors. In such way to be obvious what is new in the obtained results, how do they differ or agree with the results of other authors or with hitherto knowledge. Scientific questions must answer briefly at the end of this section.

Conclusion: must be clear and brief. It should define clearly (in points if possible) the obtained new knowledge, the most important results, their scientific or practical significance, and recommendation for the practice and/or for direction of further research.

Acknowledgement and information regarding the funding sources will appear at the end of the text, before the references section.

References: References should provide the list of refereed literature arranged in text order according to the text in **APA format** and use **Google scholar**.

Journal article: Chandra, S. J., Pallavi, P., Anuradha, B. S., & Reddy, S. R. (2015). Optimization of bioprocess for enhanced production of alkaline protease by a *Bacillus subtilis* SHmIIIa through Plackett-Burman design. *African journal of Microbiology Research*, 9(28), 1738-1744.

Monograph: Guba, E. F. (1961). *Monograph of Monochaetia and Pestalotia*. Monograph of Monochaetia and Pestalotia.

BOOK: Murray, P. R., Rosenthal, K. S., & Pfaller, M. A. (2020). *Medical microbiology* E-book. Elsevier Health Sciences.

Internet publication/Online document: <https://www.elsevier.com/books/medical-microbiology/murray/978-0-323-67322-8>

Publication Ethics to be followed by Authors

This journal is a member of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). Hence, the journal follows the COPE guidelines and confronts the possible actions of misconduct.

Authors are instructed to take responsibility of perverting research results that could damage the status of the journal, the professionalism, scientific authorship, and eventually the complete scientific effort.

Important note: the journal may use software to screen for plagiarism.