
MOVEMENT AWAY
PROGRESS
1 No poverty


1 NO POVERTY
GOALS

POLICY FRAMEWORK FOR PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC POLICYMAKING TO END POVERTY

SDG 1.4.4 — Local, Regional, National and Global Engagement

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL

Policy Created on	07/01/2022	Approved By
Revision 1:	29/01/2024	REGISTRAR

Registrar
Chaitanya (Deemed to be University)
6-100/5, Himayathnagar Village, Moinabad (M)
Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad

Chaitanya (Deemed to be University) Himayathnagar(V) Moinabad (M) Rangareddy (D) Hyderabad

SDGs Directly Supported:

- SDG 1 – No Poverty

SDGs Indirectly Supported:

- SDG 4 – Quality Education
- SDG 3 – Good Health and Well-being
- SDG 8 – Decent Work and Economic Growth
- SDG 9 – Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure
- SDG 11 – Sustainable Cities and Communities
- SDG 17 – Partnerships for the Goals

1. Purpose and scope

Chaitanya (Deemed to be University), Hyderabad recognises that universities can contribute to poverty reduction by providing research, technical expertise, evidence, education and constructive engagement with public agencies and development partners.

This framework sets out procedures for considering and documenting University participation in public policymaking and programme design relating to poverty in its many dimensions. It does not assert that CDU has participated at a particular policy level unless supported by documentary evidence.

2. Objectives

- Contribute academic evidence and expertise to discussions on poverty, social inclusion, education, livelihoods and access to basic services.
- Encourage relevant research, consultations, expert submissions and knowledge-sharing.
- Support constructive engagement with local bodies, state and national agencies, and international development forums where appropriate.
- Promote interdisciplinary and community-informed approaches to poverty reduction.
- Ensure that institutional representations are authorized, accurate and traceable.
- Maintain an evidence register for policy-related contributions and outcomes.

3. Meaning of participation

For this framework, participation may include a formally invited consultation, submission of a research or policy brief to a competent body, participation by an authorised representative in a policy committee or working group, presentation of evidence at an official forum, or a documented institutional partnership contributing to programme or policy design.

Teaching, general research, public awareness activities or alignment with the Sustainable Development Goals may support the University's broader mission, but should not alone be described as participation in policymaking unless the connection to an identified policy process is documented.

4. Levels and possible channels of engagement

- Local: consultations with municipal bodies, local authorities, community organizations or service providers on documented local needs.
- Regional/state: expert consultations, research submissions or working groups convened by relevant state agencies or recognized bodies.
- National: participation in formally constituted committees, public consultations, policy forums or research programs relevant to poverty reduction.

- Global/international: documented contributions to international policy dialogues, development forums, or collaborative research explicitly linked to policy processes.

These are possible channels, not claims that any such engagement has already occurred. The University should name a specific forum, policy, date and representative only where records confirm it.

5. Proposal, authorization and conduct

A proposed policy engagement should identify the policy issue, inviting or receiving organization, intended contribution, evidence base, participants, expected deliverable, resource implications and any reputational or legal risks.

Institutional submissions, public statements or formal commitments must be authorized under University procedures. Representatives should distinguish personal academic views from an approved institutional position and must disclose relevant conflicts of interest.

Submissions should use reliable evidence, identify limitations, respect confidentiality and avoid unsupported claims. Any commitments involving funds, data, staffing or partnerships require separate approval.

6. Research and community input

Where relevant, the University may draw on research, student projects, community consultations and subject expertise to inform policy discussions. Research involving human participants or identifiable personal data must follow applicable ethical review and data-protection requirements.

Community perspectives should be represented respectfully, with consent where required. Findings should be communicated in an accessible form and should not overstate causality or policy impact.

7. Roles and responsibilities

- Competent Authority: approve formal institutional positions and significant external commitments.
- Nominated Coordinator/Office: maintain the policy-engagement calendar and evidence register.
- Researchers/departments: prepare evidence-based submissions and document methods and limitations.
- Legal/administrative support: review formal commitments, agreements and authorized representation where needed.
- Participating staff: retain invitations, agendas, attendance confirmation, submissions and correspondence.

8. Monitoring and evidence for THE Impact Rankings

For each claimed contribution, document the policy issue, policy level, public body or forum, date, CDU participant and role, nature of contribution, supporting output and any response or outcome. Participation and influence are different: do not claim that CDU changed a policy unless an authoritative source supports that conclusion.

- Invitation, nomination or official appointment to a committee/working group.
- Agenda, attendance confirmation, minutes or official participation certificate.
- Policy brief, research report, formal submission or presentation actually provided.
- Official correspondence acknowledging receipt or considering the contribution.
- Approved MOU or project document that explicitly connects the work to policy/program design.
- Published government or agency record identifying the University's contribution, where available.
- Internal approval for institutional representation and relevant conflict-of-interest declarations.

A general SDG statement, event photograph or unsigned draft alone is insufficient to substantiate a claim of policy participation.

9. Reporting, review and approval

The designated office should review the evidence register annually and report verified activities by level of policymaking. The policy becomes operative only after approval by the competent authority.

29.01.2024

Registrar

Registrar
Chaitanya (Deemed to be University)
6-100/5, Himayathnagar Village, Moinabad (M)
Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad

Chaitanya (Deemed to be University) Himayathnagar(V) Moinabad (M) Rangareddy (D) Hyderabad

Metric 1.4.4: Participation in Policy Making to End Poverty

Metric 1.4.4 Question:

Does your university, as a body, participate in policy-making at local, regional, national and/or global levels to implement programs and policies to end poverty in all its dimensions?

Institutional Response:

Yes. Chaitanya Deemed to be University actively participates in policy-making platforms, international academic forums, and inter-institutional collaboration agreements aimed at eradicating poverty in all its dimensions. University representatives contribute to policy discussions and sign strategic MoUs with national and international associations—such as the National Association of Physical Education and Sports Science (NAPESS). These partnerships drive policies that promote community health, exercise science, rural physical well-being, and social welfare programs targeting multi-dimensional poverty.

Mapped Photo documentation for Metric 1.4.4



Event / Activity	International Policy Collaboration & MoU Exchange at 17th International NAPESS Conference
Date & Time	27th – 29th September 2025
Location & GPS	International Conference Hall, NAPESS Convention Venue

Metric Mapping	University leadership holding official MoU and policy documentation ('CHAITANYA Deemed to be University') alongside international conference dignitaries at the 17th International NAPESS Conference on 'Elevating Performance and Wellness: Innovation in Health, Exercise and Sport Sciences'.

IQAC Committee:

Sl. No.	Name	Role	Signature
1	Prof. T. Murali Krishna	IQAC Coordinator	
2	Dr. K. V. N. S. Pavan Kumar, EEE	Member	
3	Dr. J. Kumara Swamy, Chemistry	Member	
4	Dr. M. Raju, Biochemistry	Member	
5	Dr. M. Srinivasa Rao, Pharmacy	Member	
6	Mr. K. Praveen Kumar, Computer Science	Member	
7	Dr. R. Praveen Kumar, CSE	Member	
8	Mr. M. Naveen Kumar, Civil Engineering	Member	
9	Mr. B. Rajeshwar, ECE	Member	
10	Dr. P. Omkar, Agriculture	Member	
11	Mr. V. Deepak Kumar, Commerce & Business Management	Member	
12	Dr. A. Naveen, Physics & Electronics	Member	
13	Mr. B. Yasu, Mathematics & Statistics	Member	
14	Mr. B. Shivaprasad, Forensic Science	Member	
15	Mr. R. Sridevi, Mechanical Engineering	Member	

Registrar
Chaitanya (Deemed to be University)
6-100/5, Himayathnagar Village, Moinabad (M)
Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad

Registrar

Chaitanya (Deemed to be University)
Himayathnagar(V)
Moinabad (M)
Rangareddy (D)
Hyderabad